



JOHN CABOT UNIVERSITY

COURSE CODE: PL 223-2

COURSE NAME: Introduction to Comparative Politics

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2018

INSTRUCTOR: Bridget Welsh

EMAIL: bwelsh@johncabot.edu

HOURS: MW 8:30am-9:45pm

TOTAL NO. OF CONTACT HOURS: 45

CREDITS: 3

PREREQUISITES: None

ROOM: T1.2

OFFICE HOURS: Mondays, 1:00-4:00pm or by appointment Tiber Campus, PS Office B 4th Flr.

COURSE AIMS:

Students will develop the tools to understand diversity and contemporary global problems, as well as learn analytical skills and problem-solving. This course is ideal for students interested in honing their ability to interpret complex issues, understand the diverse perspectives of stakeholders and develop tools to address real world challenges. This course teaches students the basics of comparative politics and provides the knowledge for engaging in comparative political analysis.

SUMMARY OF COURSE CONTENT:

This course is designed to introduce students to the subfield of comparative politics. This course will introduce students to a basic set of concepts and ideas which comparative political scientists employ to analyze differences and similitudes in the present and future political life of any given country. From the onset students will learn how power is studied and practiced and learn tools to better understand the challenges in the modern globalized world. The course will include the basic concepts and theoretical approaches in comparative politics as well as discuss case studies where the issues and theories are relevant. Among the many important questions the survey course will examine are the nature of political regimes, debates about political culture, political identity and ideologies, public policy, political participation, the political economy and globalization. Students will enrich their knowledge and skills that will help them in any career and in becoming more empowered and informed citizens.

LEARNING OUTCOMES:

After this course, students should expect to begin thinking, reading, writing and acting as a specialist on comparative politics!

Specific Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, students will be able to:

- *Understand a Range of Concepts, Theories and Approaches in Comparative Politics*
- *Appreciate the Diversity of Political Perspectives and Outlooks*
- *Frame Problems from Multiple Perspectives*

- *Construct and Present their Own Interpretations of Political Events*
- *Recognize the Range and Variation in Forms of Political Power*
- *Evaluate Government Approaches to Key Issues of Welfare and Inequality*
- *Formulate their Own Opinions on Political Issues*
- *Research Contemporary Political Issues*

ASSESSMENT METHODS:

Class Participation/In-Class/Field Assignments	15%
Book Assessment	10%
Reading Reflections (Two)	15%
Class Presentation of Reading	10%
Short Analytical Case Study Paper	20%
Final examination:	30%
Total	100%

Protocol for Handing in Written Assignments: *Students must turn in all written assignments three ways. This assignment must be 1) emailed to the professor, 2) with a hard copy delivered to the political science assignment basket in front of the Chair’s office on the 2nd floor of the Tiber campus by 5pm on the due date and 3) an electronic copy delivered on through MOODLE to TURNITIN. This will require that you set up your own MOODLE account.*

a) Class Attendance, In-Class/Field Assignments & Participation (15% of total grade) *Students are expected to read all the required reading before class to participate in discussion. Please note that more than **THREE** absences of any class session will significantly lower a student’s final participation grade. Regular patterns of tardiness will also negatively affect a student’s performance. Class participation will be assessed based on the quality of participation in the class, with higher marks given to students who relate inputs to the course readings and express individual ideas articulately and succinctly. Students are not evaluated on the volume that they say, but the degree to which their participation adds value to the discussion. Students are asked to turn their smart phones and other devices on silent mode and not use them during class time. Laptops are to be used for note-taking, not chatting and emailing during class time. Student distractions that take away from the overall class learning environment are strongly discouraged and will be assessed in class participation performance. As part of the learning process, students will be asked to participate in a series of in-class simulations, problem-solving tasks and at least one field assignment (related to the Italian elections). These assignments will also comprise your course participation grade.*

b) Book Assessment (10% of total grade): *Students are asked to read the assigned for the course in 2-3 double-spaced pages (1000-1500 words). These book assessments must address the political issues in the text and connect these issues to the course material. The review must develop an argument and reflect your own personal engagement with the material. Assessments will be evaluated based on their individuality, clarity, presentation, argument and knowledge of the issues in the book. The book will be discussed at the optional course dinner on Wednesday, January 24th. This assignment must be delivered through the protocol outlined above and is due on Thursday, February 1st.*

c) Reading Reflection Pieces (15% of total grade, 7.5% each assignment), *These **TWO** reviews of 1000 words each will draw on the assigned course reading and class lecture. For each review, students are asked to prepare an analytical commentary on the issues raised in the reading and class discussion. Students should refer to at least two readings and develop an argument. The*

reflection pieces must be turned in within two days of the class session by 5pm through hard copy and email. Moodle copies are not necessary for this assignment. The first reflection should be turned in by March 2nd. Students are responsible for finishing both of these assignments before the 14th week of the course, before April 20th.

*d) **Reading Oral Presentation** (10% of the total grade). Students are asked to present one course reading before Week 14 of the semester, April 20th. Students will sign up in the second week of the course and readings will be on a first-signed up basis on the CHOICE program in MOODLE. All of the presentations should be no more than 5 minutes (with five minutes for potential questions), include a one-page written synopsis of the main questions and findings of the reading as well as the student's own commentary. The written synopsis is due by 5pm of the class session day through hard copy and email to the professor. Any power point presentation should be forwarded the midnight before. Strict time limits will be imposed. Students will be assessed on their ability to present material clearly and succinctly, the quality of their synopsis as well as their understanding of the reading and comparative case study selected. The chosen reading cannot coincide with the same session of a reflection assignment.*

*e) **Short Analytical Paper** (20% of total grade) Students are asked to submit an original paper of 2000-2500 words concisely examining one of the issues developed in the course. The paper must apply a clear theoretical question to a case study. It must develop an argument and use concrete evidence. Further guidelines on this paper will be provided. This assignment must be turned in through the assignment protocol noted above by 5pm on the due date, April 12th. No late papers will be accepted.*

*f) **Final Examination** (30% of the final grade): Students will be required to sit for a final examination at the end of term. This examination will include identifications, multiple choice questions and short answer questions that assess the comprehension of the course reading and class discussions. The test will include both objective and subjective questions that test knowledge and the ability to formulate analytical responses.*

GRADES:

Assessment Guidelines for assigning main letter grades: A, B, C, D, and F.

A: *Work of this quality directly addresses the question or problem raised and provides a coherent argument displaying an extensive knowledge of relevant information or content. This type of work demonstrates the ability to critically evaluate concepts and theory and has an element of novelty and originality. There is clear evidence of a significant amount of reading beyond that required for the course.*

B: *This is highly competent level of performance and directly addresses the question or problem raised. There is a demonstration of some ability to critically evaluate theory and concepts and relate them to practice. Discussions reflect the student's own arguments and are not simply a repetition of standard lecture and reference material. The work does not suffer from any major errors or omissions and provides evidence of reading beyond the required assignments.*

C: *This is an acceptable level of performance and provides answers that are clear but limited, reflecting the information offered in the lectures and reference readings.*

D: *This level of performances demonstrates that the student lacks a coherent grasp of the material. Important information is omitted and irrelevant points included. In effect, the student has barely done enough to persuade the instructor that s/he should not fail.*

F: *This work fails to show any knowledge or understanding of the issues raised in the question. Most of the material in the answer is irrelevant.*

Grading:

- A: 95-100
- A-: 91-94.99
- B+: 87-90.99
- B: 83-86.99
- B-: 79-82.99
- C+: 75-78.99
- C: 71-74.99
- C-: 67-70.99
- D+: 63-66.99
- D: 59-62.99
- D-: 55-58.99
- F: 0-54.99

In assignments, grades between two letter grades are often given, indicating a score on the margins of these two letter grades.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

Students are expected to uphold high standards of academic integrity. Plagiarism, cheating and disrespect for diversity of views in the classroom are not acceptable. In the beginning of term, the professor will review different guidelines for research and help familiarize students with the techniques needed for report preparation. Throughout the course, a classroom environment of acceptance, respect and tolerance will be reinforced. Specific task-oriented assignments have been selected to reduce the temptation for students to rely on the ideas of others and tap directly into an individual student's abilities. All written assignments must be submitted to TURNITIN on MOODLE.

INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS AND EXPECTATIONS

The assignments in this course develop knowledge and skills, with the aim of making students more confident and better prepared to address real world problems they will face. Simultaneously, they introduce students to contemporary problems in the world and teach core material associated with political science. Texts and course material for this course are purposely selected for accessibility. Teaching materials include novels, the course website, in-class simulations and core disciplinary reading material.

CONSULTATIONS

Students are encouraged to meet one-on-one with the professor to discuss course material and their assignments. The professor holds regular office hours where students can meet her. Students are also welcome to schedule an appointment, but should provide at least two different alternatives to be accommodated for a meeting outside of office hours. Students are encouraged to book their time early. During term, students should expect a response to their emails within three business days.

STUDENTS WITH LEARNING OR OTHER DISABILITIES

John Cabot University does not discriminate on the basis of disability or handicap. Students with approved accommodations must inform their professors at the beginning of the term. Please see the website for the complete policy.

CLASS SESSIONS

Class sessions will be held for two and a half hours per week. Each session will combine lectures, discussions, and group activities focused on the assigned topics.

REQUIRED TEXT AND READINGS

Students will be expected to read all the required reading before class. All the required course reading will be available in the Library on reserve or available on MYJCU.

Please note that additional articles related to the case studies may be added later in the semester.

COURSE TEXTS AND MATERIAL

Patrick H. O'Neill. *Essentials of Comparative Politics*. 5th Edition. (New York: W.P. Norton Company, 2015). Please be sure to get the 5th edition.

Hisham Matar, *The Return: Fathers, Sons and the Land in Between*. (New York: Random House, 2017)

Students are asked to read the *NY Times* before each class and regularly during the term.

WEEKLY LESSONS AND READINGS

Please note that due to the scheduling of Malaysia's General Elections, classes and assignment dates this term may have to be rescheduled.

WEEK 1 (January 14-20) Introducing Comparative Politics

Session 1 (January 15) Course Introduction

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 1.

Session 2 (January 17) Building Theory: Comparative Method Applied

Peter Katzenstein, Adam Przeworski, Theda Skocpol, et al. (1995) 'The Role of Theory in Comparative Politics' *World Politics* 48/1:1-25.

WEEK 2 (January 21-27) State Formation and Types States

Session 3 (January 22) State Formation Trajectories

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 2, pp. 30-46

Francis Fukuyama, *Political Order and Decay*. (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2015), Chapters 27-28, pp. 399-435

Case Study: England

Barrington Moore, *Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy* (Boston: Beacon Press, 1993), Chapter 7, pp. 413-32

Session 4 (January 24) From Predatory to Rentier: Types of States

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 2, pp. 46-61.

Case Study: Saudi Arabia

Paul Aarts and Carolien Roulants, *Saudi Arabia: The Kingdom in Peril*, (London: Hurst and Co, 2015) pp. 1-36, 135-141

*****Course Dinner Discussion on The Return on Wednesday, January 24th, 7:30pm*****

WEEK 3 (January 28-February 3) Political Culture and Religion

Session 5 (January 29) Clash of Civilizations

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 3, pp 77-90, and Chapter 7
Samuel P. Huntington, *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*
(1996), pp. 192-198.
Case Study: ISIS
Joby Warrick. *Black Flags: The Rise of ISIS*. (New York: Anchor, Penguin, 2016), pp.
267-307.

Session 6: (January 31) 'Western' and 'Asian Values'

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 3, pp. 91-94.
Amartya Sen, "Democracy as a Universal Value," *Journal of Democracy*, 10 (July 1999):
3-17
Russell Bova, "Democracy and Liberty: The Cultural Connection," *Journal of Democracy*,
8 (January 1997): 112-126
Mark Thompson, "Whatever Happened to 'Asian Values'?" *Journal of Democracy* 12
(October 2001): 154-165
Christian Welzel and Russell Dalton, "Cultural Change in Asia and Beyond," *Asian
Journal of Comparative Politics* (June 2017), 112-132
Case Study: Singapore
Bilahari Kausikan, "Governance that Works," *Journal of Democracy*, 8 (April 1997): 24-
34.

*****Book Assessment Due, Thursday, February 1st by 5pm*****

WEEK 4 (February 4-10) Social Cleavages and Nationalism

Session 7 (February 5): Nationalism and Ethnicity

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 3, pp. 62-76.
Sara Rich Dorman. "The Varieties of Nationalism in Africa," *Current History*, (May
2015), pp. 189-193.
Case Study: Nigeria
Brandon Kendhammer. "Nigeria's New Democratic Dawn," *Current History*, (May 2015),
170-176.
Ebenezer Obadare, "Perspective: A Nigerian President's Disappointing Return," *Current
History*, (May 2017), Vol 116, No. 790, pp. 194-96

Session 8: (February 7) Conducting Research on Comparative Politics

Library Session for Comparative Politics

WEEK 5 (February 11-17) Political Identity and Political Mobilization

Session 9 (February 12): Left and Right Politics

Brendan O'Leary. "Europe's Embers of Nationalism," *Current History*, (March 2015)
David Art. "Why 2013 is not 1933: The Radical Right in Europe," *Current History* (March
2013)

Session 10 (February 14): Social Movements

Charles Tilly and Leslie Wood. *Social Movements, 1768-2008*. (New York: Paradigm Publishers, 2009), pp. 1-37

Case Study: #MeToo

Sophie Gilbert, "The Movement of #MeToo," *The Atlantic*, October 16, 2017, <https://www.theatlantic.com/entertainment/archive/2017/10/the-movement-of-metoo/542979/>

Stephanie Zacharek, Eliana Dockterman and Haley Sweetland Edwards, "Time Person of the Year 2017: The Silence Breakers" *Time*, December 6, 2017. <http://time.com/time-person-of-the-year-2017-silence-breakers/>

Eugene Scott, "The Marginalized Voices of the MeToo Movement," *Washington Post*, December 7, 2017, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-fix/wp/2017/12/07/the-marginalized-voices-of-the-metoo-movement/?utm_term=.56522bb28f02

Session 11: (February 16) Class Field Trip, 8:30-10:30am

WEEK 6 (February 18-24) Elections and Political Participation

Session 12 (February 19): Political Parties and Voting Behavior

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 5, pp. 158-68.

Pippa Norris (eds.) *Comparing Democracies 2: New Challenges in the Study of Elections and Voting*, (New York: Sage Publications, 2002), Chapter 7

David M. Farrell, "Campaign Strategies and Tactics," in Lawrence Le Du et. Al. *Comparing Democracies: Elections and Voting in Comparative Perspective*, (New York: Sage Publications, 2002), Chapter 6

Session 13 (February 20) Elections and Representation

Jan Teorell, Marino Torcal and Jose Ramon Montero. "Political Participation: Mapping the Terrain," In Jan van Deth, Jose Ramon Montero and Anders Westholm (eds.) *Citizenship and Involvement in European Democracies*, (London: Routledge, 2007), Ch. 13, pp. 334-35

Christian Achen and Larry Bartels, *Democracy for Realists: Why Elections do not Produce Responsive Government* (Princeton, 2016), Chapter 1.

Russell J. Dalton and Christian Welzel (eds.), *The Civic Culture Transformed: From Allegiant to Assertive Citizens* (Cambridge, 2014), Chapter 1.

WEEK 7 (February 25-March 3) Leadership and Populism

Session 14 (February 26) Role of Leadership, Ideas and Charisma

Max Weber. "The Three Pure Types of Legitimate Authority, Legal Authority with a Bureaucratic Administrative Staff, Traditional Authority, Charismatic Authority, The Routinization of Charisma," *The Theory of Social and Economic Organization*, (N.Y.: The Free Press, 1984). pp. 328-336, 341-346, 358-373.

Mussolini, "The Doctrine of Fascism,"

<http://www.worldfuturefund.org/wffmaster/reading/germany/mussolini.htm>

The Futurist Manifesto, <http://cscs.umich.edu/~crshalizi/T4PM/futurist-manifesto.html> and paintings, <http://www.cartridgesave.co.uk/news/20-dynamic-paintings-from-the-italian-futurists/>

Hitler, "Triumph des Willens"

<https://youtu.be/vBF6d4xyq40>

Session 15 (February 28) Populism and Disengagement

Jan Werner-Muller, *What is Populism?* (Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2016), pp. 41-74.

Bertjan Verbeek and Andrej Zaslove. "Italy: A Case of Mutating Populism?" *Democratization* 23, 2 (2015) pp. 304-323.

*Nicole Curato, "Politics of Anxiety, Politics of Hope: Penal Populism and Duterte's Rise to Power," *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 35, 3 (2017), pp. 91-109.

*****Students must have turned in at least one Reading Reflection by this week by March 2nd*****

WEEK 8 (March 4-10) Democracy

Session 16 (March 5) Defining and Measuring Democracy

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 5, pp. 136-142, 168-9.

Philippe Schmitter and Terry Karl, "What Democracy Is...and Is Not," *Journal of Democracy* 2 (July 1991): 75-88

Larry Diamond, Emily Green and William Gallery "Measuring Democracy," in Larry Diamond, *In Search of Democracy*, (London: Routledge, 2016), pp. 46-75.

Session 17 (March 7) Political Institutions and Democratic Governance

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 5, pp. 148-157.

Larry Diamond and Leonardo Morlino. "The Quality of Democracy: An Overview." *Journal of Democracy*, 15 (October 2004): 20-31.

Case Study: India

Ashutosh Varshney, "India's Democracy at 70: Growth, Inequality and Nationalism," *Journal of Democracy*, (July 2017), 28/3: 41-51.

WEEK 9 (March 11-17) Regime Change and Democratization

Session 18 (March 12) Early Waves of Democratization

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 5, pp. 143-47.

Larry Diamond, "Democracy's Third Wave Today," *Current History*, November 2011. 110:299-307

Philippe C. Schmitter. "Twenty-Five Years, Fifteen Findings." *Journal of Democracy*, 21 (January 2010): 17-28.

Session 19 (March 14) Political Polarization and Democratic Deconsolidation

Roberto Stefan Foa and Yascha Mounk, "The Signs of Democratic Deconsolidation," *Journal of Democracy* (January 2017), 28/2: 5-16

Thomas Carothers and Richard Young, "Is Democracy Dying? Seeing through the Boom and Gloom," *Foreign Affairs* (April 2017) <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2017-04-11/democracy-not-dying>

Paul Howe, "Eroding Norms and Democratic Consolidation," *Journal of Democracy*, 28/4, (October 2017), pp. 15-29.

WEEK 10 (March 18-24) Democratic Decay

Session 21 (March 19) Democratic Decay

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 8

Case Studies: Eastern Europe and Russia

Holly Case, "Perspective: Shape-Shifting Illiberalism in East-Central Europe," *Current History*, Vol. 116 (March 2017), pp. 112-116.

Steven Fish "What is Putinism?" *Journal of Democracy*, 28/4. (October 2017). p, 61-75.

Samuel A. Greene. "The End of Ambiguity in Russia," *Current History* (October 2015), pp. 251-258.

Ivan Krastev. "Paradoxes of the New Authoritarianism," *Journal of Democracy*, 22 (April 2011):5-16

Session 22 (March 20) No Class. Make up Earlier in Semester with Field Trip

WEEK 11 (March 25-31) Authoritarian Regimes

Session 23 (March 26) Types of Authoritarian Regimes

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 6

Case Studies: Egypt and Thailand

Emad El-Din Shahin. "Egypt's Revolution Turned on its Head," *Current History* (December 2015), 114: 343-348

Claudio Sopranzetti, "The Tightening Authoritarian Grip on Thailand," *Current History*, (September 2017), 116/791: 230-34

Session 24 (March 28) Authoritarian Resilience

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 9

Alexander Cooley, "Countering Democratic Norms," *Journal of Democracy*, 26 (October 2015):49-63.

Case Studies: China

Minxin Pei. "Transition in China? More Likely Than You Think." *Journal of Democracy*, 27/4, 2016. pp. 5-20.

WEEK 12 (April 1-April 7) Spring Break. No Class

WEEK 13 (April 8-14) Inequality and Welfare

Session 25 (April 9): Inequality

Francis Fukuyama. "Dealing with Inequality," *Journal of Democracy*, 22 (July 2011), 79-89.

Larry Bartels, *Unequal Democracy: The Political Economy of the New Gilded Age*, (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2013), Introduction, pp. 1-28.

Alfred Stepan and Juan J. Linz. "Comparative Perspectives on Inequality and the Quality of Democracy in the United States. *Perspectives on Politics*, 9(4) (2011): 841-856.

Session 26 (April 11): Welfare

Giovanni Carbone. "The Consequences of Democracy." *Journal of Democracy*, 20 (April 2009): 123-137.

Guiliano Bonoli. "Europe's Social Safety Net Under Pressures," *Current History*, (March 2016), 115:102-107.

***** Short Analytical Paper Due, Thursday, April 12th by 5pm*****

WEEK 14 (April 15-21) Governance, Development and Globalization

Session 27 (April 16) Development & Good Governance

Patrick H. O'Neill, *Essentials of Comparative Politics*, Chapter 10

Jeffery Sachs, "The Development Challenge," *Foreign Affairs*, March/April, 2005.

William Easterly, "Was Development Assistance a Mistake?"

http://williameasterly.files.wordpress.com/2010/08/50_easterly_wasdevelopmentassistanceamistake_prp.pdf

Susan Rose Ackerman *Corruption: A study in political economy*. (New York: Academic Press, 2013), pp. 211-233.

"The Wages of Sin" *The Economist*, January 30th 2016

Session 28 (April 18) Globalization and the Internet

Nathaniel Persily, "Can Democracy Survive the Internet," *Journal of Democracy*, (April 2017), 28/3: 63-76.

Andrew Chadwick, *The Hybrid Media System: Politics and Power* (Second Edition) (New York: Oxford University Press, 2017), Chapter 10 on Trump Campaign.

"Do social media threaten democracy?" *The Economist*, Nov 4, 2017.

<https://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21730871-facebook-google-and-twitter-were-supposed-save-politics-good-information-drove-out>

*****Last Week for Reading Reflections and Oral Presentations*****

WEEK 15 (April 22-28) Course Reflections and Review

Session 29 (April 23) Course Review

WEEK 16 (April 29-May 5) Final Examination TBD